Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	2007	09/905,335	UZOH ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Kishor Mayekar	1753
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) Kishor Mayekar.		(3)	
(2) <u>Tina Chen</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 February 2005		Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applica	ant's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:		•	
40			•
Prior art documents discussed:			
Prior art of record		ı	
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	HE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The separate r	e examiner will provide a writ record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Since claim 40 does not recite the limitation of "positioning the pad material on or from the substrate during the deposition step" as it was rejected under double patenting rejection for reasons as of record, the Attorney has agreed to add the limitation in claim 40 by an examiner's amendment.